

Online deterministic models: Updating with EnKF

Morten Borup

DTU Environment & Krüger A/S, Veolia VWS Denmark

Morten Grum Krüger A/S, Veolia VWS Denmark Peter Steen Mikkelsen

DTU Environment

DTU Environment Department of Environmental Engineering



Intelligence Inside



Why online distributed models?

• We have the models Best system description we have Can tie all system data together Water on terrain, status of gauges, pollution fluxes 5 km

Existing DA-metods for MIKE URBAN

- Deterministic local updating: MOUSE UPDATE Water levels at single points are corrected
- Deterministic upstream updating: RDII updating
 Upstream hydrological lumped conceptual models are updated
- No Hydrodynamic global updating method exists

Optimal updating of distributed models

- Minimizing the error from gauge and model uncertainty
- Correction is distributed from calculated/expected spatial correlation



N states -> NxN crosscorrellations
 (States in MIKE URBAN models: 10⁴–10⁶

-> 10⁸–10¹² crosscorrelations)

Best estimate of s knowing z: $s = \hat{s} + \frac{\sigma_{s,q}}{\sigma_q^2}(z - q)$

When z is uncertain:

When s is part of vector **x**:
$$x = \hat{x} + K(z - q)$$

Estimated by KF using full error covariance matrix **P**

This requires:

- 1. Normal distributed Errors (including any input uncertainty)
- 2. Linearity
- 3. Limited number of states (size of P = n*n)

Ensembles of models used to represent state uncertainty

Ensembles of models used to represent state uncertainty

Covariance can be calculated directly from ensemble:

$$\sigma_{1,3} = \frac{1}{N-1} \sum_{i}^{N} (X_{i,1} - \overline{X_{,1}}) (X_{i,3} - \overline{X_{,3}})$$

No need for full covariance matrix for finding **K**

EnKF step-by-step

1.Calculate K using the relevant covariances from ensemble

2.Correct each ensemble member using K(observed-modelled)

EnKF step-by-step

- 1.Calculate K using the relevant covariances from ensemble
- 2.Correct each ensemble member using K(observed-modelled)
- 3.Run model until next measurements arrives

EnKF vs. KF

• EnKF

Computational efficient for LARGE models Can handle any kind of noise Handles non-linearity

• KF

If gauss-linearity then much more efficient for not too big models

EnKF vs. KF

• Uncertainty descriptions

Testing EnKF

- 2010 flow data from Ballerup
- Simple 3 linear reservoir WA model
- Only noise on first reservoir (state proportional)
- Std. measured flow = 10%

Ballerup 2010

Kalman gain K for different N

K for first (red) and second (blue) reservoirs.

Kalman gain K much more stable when N is high.

EnKF and MU

- 1. Distributed models tend to be big
- 2. And non-linear
- 3. Ensembles of distributed rain estimates

Challenges

- Manipulation of governing equations (St. Venant eq.)
- 2. Slow hydrological response time

Updating MIKE URBAN

- New computational engine (Mike1D)
- Interface to states (h and Q)

Example of water level only update in MIKE URBAN

Summary

Ensemble based data assimilation methods are suitable for urban runoff

The states in the new MIKE URBAN hydrodynamic engine can be manipulated without instability

HD data assimilation for MIKE URBAN is on the way

Questions

